

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/830,004	04/23/2004	Asano Tosiya	03560.003454	7655		
5514 . 75	5514 7590 12/04/2006			EXAMINER		
FITZPATRIC 30 ROCKEFEI	K CELLA HARPER &	HOANG,	HOANG, ANN THI			
NEW YORK, NY 10112		<del>.</del>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•	2836			

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/830,004	TOSIYA, ASANO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ann T. Hoang	2836		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ann T. Hoang	2836					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED 15 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
1. 🔀	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
nave unde set fo may NOT	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exergive 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the orth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) TICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi ite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS								
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co							
	<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>							
	appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		jected claims.	•				
4. [	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. 🛚	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):						
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).							
7. 🗵	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .							
A C C	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	<ul> <li>TDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a (1).				
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation the consideration of the consid	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
	☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:								
				<b>~</b> _				
	Elim							
			RURTONS	MILLING				

BURTON S. MULLINS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The arguments are not persuasive and the claims, as amended, do not overcome the art. The magnetic guiding apparatus of Boon et al. in view of Nakasuji, Ha et al., and Kikuchi et al., provides a magnetic-flux detection means on the guided moving member, for detecting a magnetic flux along the length of the target during movement of the moving member along the length of the sliding member.